**OUTPUT 1.**

**MAPS: Chronbach’s alpha; Correlations; Friedman Test; Kruskal Wallis Test**

The Cronbach’s Alpha measures the internal consistency between a number of related statements describing a subscale and it ranges from 0 to 1. A Cronbach’s Alpha above 0.7 indicates good internal consistency; a Cronbach’s Alpha between 0.5 and 0.7 indicate questionable internal consistency; and a Cronbach’s Alpha below 0.5 indicates unacceptable internal consistency.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Reliability Statistics for Meaningfulness** | | |
| Cronbach's Alpha | Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items | N of Items |
| .878 | .881 | 5 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Inter-Item Correlation Matrix (Meaningfulness)** | | | | | |
|  | a1 | a2 | a3 | a4 | a5 |
| a1 | 1.000 | .724 | .712 | .591 | .569 |
| a2 | .724 | 1.000 | .777 | .540 | .457 |
| a3 | .712 | .777 | 1.000 | .582 | .492 |
| a4 | .591 | .540 | .582 | 1.000 | .528 |
| a5 | .569 | .457 | .492 | .528 | 1.000 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Reliability Statistics for Crisis of Meaning** | | |
| Cronbach's Alpha | Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items | N of Items |
| .858 | .858 | 3 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Inter-Item Correlation Matrix (Crisis of Meaning)** | | | |
|  | b1 | b2 | b3 |
| b1 | 1.000 | .739 | .609 |
| b2 | .739 | 1.000 | .658 |
| b3 | .609 | .658 | 1.000 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Reliability Statistics for Sustainability** | | |
| Cronbach's Alpha | Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items | N of Items |
| .763 | .767 | 3 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Inter-Item Correlation Matrix (Sustainability)** | | | |
|  | c1 | c2 | c3 |
| c1 | 1.000 | .515 | .431 |
| c2 | .515 | 1.000 | .622 |
| c3 | .431 | .622 | 1.000 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Reliability Statistics for Faith** | | |
| Cronbach's Alpha | Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items | N of Items |
| .976 | .976 | 3 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Inter-Item Correlation Matrix (Faith)** | | | |
|  | d1 | d2 | d3 |
| d1 | 1.000 | .955 | .918 |
| d2 | .955 | 1.000 | .921 |
| d3 | .918 | .921 | 1.000 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Reliability Statistics for Security** | | |
| Cronbach's Alpha | Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items | N of Items |
| .632 | .634 | 3 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Inter-Item Correlation Matrix (Security)** | | | |
|  | e1 | e2 | e3 |
| e1 | 1.000 | .315 | .374 |
| e2 | .315 | 1.000 | .409 |
| e3 | .374 | .409 | 1.000 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Reliability Statistics for Community** | | |
| Cronbach's Alpha | Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items | N of Items |
| .720 | .716 | 3 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Inter-Item Correlation Matrix** | | | |
|  | f1 | f2 | f3 |
| f1 | 1.000 | .426 | .285 |
| f2 | .426 | 1.000 | .660 |
| f3 | .285 | .660 | 1.000 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Reliability Statistics for Personal Growth** | | |
| Cronbach's Alpha | Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items | N of Items |
| .833 | .834 | 3 |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Inter-Item Correlation Matrix** | | | |
|  | g1 | g2 | g3 |
| g1 | 1.000 | .652 | .634 |
| g2 | .652 | 1.000 | .595 |
| g3 | .634 | .595 | 1.000 |

All Cronbach’s Alphas exceed or are close the 0.7 threshold value indicating satisfactory internal consistency between the items. The seven scales (meaningfulness, crisis of meaning, faith, sustainability, security, community, and personal growth) were generated by averaging the rating scores of their respective items. Since all the seven scales have skewed distributions, non-parametric tests will be used for further analysis.

The Friedman test is used to compare mean scores provided to the seven scales. These mean scores range from 0 to 5, where 0 corresponds to ‘not at all’ and 5 corresponds to ‘a large extent’. The null hypothesis specifies that the mean scores provided to the statements are similar and is accepted if the p-value is larger than the 0.05 level of significance. The alternative hypothesis specifies that the mean scores provided to the statements differ significantly and is accepted if the p-value is less than the 0.05 criterion.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Descriptive Statistics Table (Friedman Test)** | | |
|  | Mean | Std. Deviation |
| Meaningfulness | 3.67 | .95 |
| Crisis of Meaning | 1.21 | 1.17 |
| Sustainability | 3.51 | 1.02 |
| Faith | 2.81 | 1.92 |
| Security | 3.39 | .81 |
| Community | 3.99 | .82 |
| Personal Growth | 4.12 | .84 |

X2(6) = 507.952, p < 0.001

The mean scores for personal growth (4.12), community (3.99), meaningfulness (3.67), sustainability (3.51), and security (3.39) are significantly larger than the mean scores for crisis of meaning (1.21) and faith (2.81).

The Spearman correlation coefficient measures the strength of the relationship between two continuous variables and it ranges from -1 to 1. A positive correlation coefficient indicates a positive relationship between the two variables; a negative correlation coefficient indicates a negative relationship; while a correlation coefficient close to 0 indicates no relationship between the two variables. The Spearman correlation test is used to investigate whether a relationship between two variables is significant or not. The null hypothesis specifies that there is no relationship between the two variables and is accepted if the p-value exceeds the 0.05 level of significance. The alternative hypothesis specifies that there is a significant relationship between the two variables and is accepted if the p-value is less than the 0.05 criterion.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Spearman Correlations** | | | | | | | | |
|  | | Meaningfulness | Crisis of Meaning | Sustainability | Faith | Security | Community | Personal Growth |
| Meaningfulness | Correlation | 1 | -.692 | .307 | .193 | .080 | .311 | .484 |
| P-value |  | .000 | .000 | .001 | .186 | .000 | .000 |
| Crisis of Meaning | Correlation | -.692 | 1 | -.133 | -.064 | -.031 | -.140 | -.294 |
| P-value | .000 |  | .028 | .288 | .613 | .020 | .000 |
| Sustainability | Correlation | .307 | -.133 | 1 | .132 | .160 | .289 | .333 |
| P-value | .000 | .028 |  | .029 | .008 | .000 | .000 |
| Faith | Correlation | .193 | -.064 | .132 | 1 | .223 | .195 | .124 |
| P-value | .001 | .288 | .029 |  | .000 | .001 | .039 |
| Security | Correlation | .080 | -.031 | .160 | .223 | 1 | .185 | .176 |
| P-value | .186 | .613 | .008 | .000 |  | .002 | .003 |
| Community | Correlation | .311 | -.140 | .289 | .195 | .185 | 1 | .336 |
| P-value | .000 | .020 | .000 | .001 | .002 |  | .000 |
| Personal Growth | Correlation | .484 | -.294 | .333 | .124 | .176 | .336 | 1 |
| P-value | .000 | .000 | .000 | .039 | .003 | .000 |  |

Crisis of meaning is negatively related with the other six scales. On the other hand, meaningfulness, sustainability, faith, security, community, and personal growth are all positively related with each other. Most of these relationships are significant since their p-values are smaller than the 0.05 level of significance.

The Kruskal Wallis test will be used to compare mean scores provided to each scale between groups of participants clustered by demographic and religion-related variables. These mean scores range from 0 to 5, where 0 corresponds to ‘not at all’ and 5 corresponds to ‘a large extent’. The null hypothesis specifies that the mean scores provided to a scale vary marginally between the groups and is accepted if the p-value exceeds the 0.05 level of significance. The alternative hypothesis specifies that the mean scores provided to a scale vary significantly between the groups, and is accepted if the p-value is less than the 0.05 criterion.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kruskal Wallis Test (Comparison by gender)** | | | | | |
|  | | Sample size | Mean | Std. Deviation | P-value |
| Meaningfulness | Male | 112 | 3.70 | .966 | 0.658 |
| Female | 157 | 3.66 | .939 |
| Crisis of Meaning | Male | 112 | 1.20 | 1.227 | 0.661 |
| Female | 157 | 1.20 | 1.139 |
| Sustainability | Male | 112 | 3.43 | 1.086 | 0.497 |
| Female | 157 | 3.55 | .925 |
| Faith | Male | 112 | 2.74 | 2.009 | 0.783 |
| Female | 157 | 2.91 | 1.849 |
| Security | Male | 112 | 3.32 | .794 | 0.191 |
| Female | 157 | 3.46 | .796 |
| Community | Male | 112 | 3.98 | .758 | 0.549 |
| Female | 157 | 4.01 | .822 |
| Personal Growth | Male | 112 | 4.07 | .879 | 0.571 |
| Female | 157 | 4.15 | .803 |

For each scale, there was no significant discrepancy between males and females since all the p-values exceed the 0.05 level of significance.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kruskal Wallis Test (Comparison by nationality)** | | | | | |
|  | | Sample size | Mean | Std. Deviation | P-value |
| Meaningfulness | Maltese | 244 | 3.65 | .966 | 0.445 |
| Foreigner | 31 | 3.85 | .808 |
| Crisis of Meaning | Maltese | 244 | 1.26 | 1.186 | 0.018 |
| Foreigner | 31 | .78 | .976 |
| Sustainability | Maltese | 244 | 3.52 | .989 | 0.999 |
| Foreigner | 31 | 3.42 | 1.229 |
| Faith | Maltese | 244 | 2.95 | 1.853 | 0.006 |
| Foreigner | 31 | 1.76 | 2.133 |
| Security | Maltese | 244 | 3.44 | .785 | 0.003 |
| Foreigner | 31 | 2.98 | .877 |
| Community | Maltese | 244 | 4.01 | .815 | 0.143 |
| Foreigner | 31 | 3.82 | .834 |
| Personal Growth | Maltese | 244 | 4.09 | .864 | 0.134 |
| Foreigner | 31 | 4.35 | .632 |

There was significant discrepancy between Maltese and foreigners for crisis of meaning, faith and security, where Maltese are scoring significantly higher than foreigners on these three scales. However, for the remaining scales there was no significant discrepancy between Maltese and foreigners since the p-values exceed the 0.05 level of significance.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kruskal Wallis Test (Comparison by education level)** | | | | | |
|  | | Sample size | Mean | Std. Dev. | P-value |
| Meaningfulness | School level | 61 | 3.42 | .977 | 0.052 |
| Certificate/Diploma | 72 | 3.72 | .876 |
| Bachelor | 95 | 3.77 | .929 |
| Master | 47 | 3.74 | 1.035 |
| Crisis of Meaning | School level | 61 | 1.43 | 1.198 | 0.221 |
| Certificate/Diploma | 72 | 1.05 | 1.109 |
| Bachelor | 95 | 1.15 | 1.171 |
| Master | 47 | 1.26 | 1.226 |
| Sustainability | School level | 61 | 3.47 | .855 | 0.322 |
| Certificate/Diploma | 72 | 3.33 | 1.170 |
| Bachelor | 95 | 3.58 | 1.029 |
| Master | 47 | 3.69 | .910 |
| Faith | School level | 61 | 3.03 | 1.921 | 0.799 |
| Certificate/Diploma | 72 | 2.83 | 1.852 |
| Bachelor | 95 | 2.72 | 1.975 |
| Master | 47 | 2.70 | 1.943 |
| Security | School level | 61 | 3.44 | .806 | 0.721 |
| Certificate/Diploma | 72 | 3.28 | .839 |
| Bachelor | 95 | 3.43 | .820 |
| Master | 47 | 3.40 | .741 |
| Community | School level | 61 | 3.95 | .861 | 0.908 |
| Certificate/Diploma | 72 | 3.97 | .917 |
| Bachelor | 95 | 4.04 | .726 |
| Master | 47 | 3.95 | .795 |
| Personal Growth | School level | 61 | 3.91 | 1.035 | 0.069 |
| Certificate/Diploma | 72 | 4.05 | .825 |
| Bachelor | 95 | 4.18 | .755 |
| Master | 47 | 4.36 | .705 |

For each scale there was no significant discrepancy between the distinct education levels since all p-values exceed the 0.05 level of significance.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kruskal Wallis Test (Comparison by marital status)** | | | | | |
|  | | Sample size | Mean | Std. Dev. | P-value |
| Meaningfulness | Single | 169 | 3.60 | .940 | 0.059 |
| In a relationship | 106 | 3.78 | .960 |
| Crisis of Meaning | Single | 169 | 1.25 | 1.186 | 0.424 |
| In a relationship | 106 | 1.14 | 1.152 |
| Sustainability | Single | 169 | 3.44 | 1.052 | 0.187 |
| In a relationship | 106 | 3.62 | .952 |
| Faith | Single | 169 | 2.91 | 1.937 | 0.126 |
| In a relationship | 106 | 2.67 | 1.890 |
| Security | Single | 169 | 3.44 | .846 | 0.225 |
| In a relationship | 106 | 3.30 | .738 |
| Community | Single | 169 | 4.00 | .844 | 0.454 |
| In a relationship | 106 | 3.96 | .779 |
| Personal Growth | Single | 169 | 4.06 | .867 | 0.116 |
| In a relationship | 106 | 4.21 | .801 |

For each scale there was no significant discrepancy between the distinct marital status categories since all p-values exceed the 0.05 level of significance.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kruskal Wallis Test (Comparison by occupation)** | | | | | |
|  | | Sample size | Mean | Std. Dev. | P-value |
| Meaningfulness | Professional | 82 | 3.82 | 1.011 | 0.208 |
| Management | 13 | 3.66 | .885 |
| Clerical | 30 | 3.57 | 1.093 |
| Skilled | 29 | 3.88 | .751 |
| Unskilled | 17 | 3.31 | 1.298 |
| Homemaker/unemployed | 10 | 3.72 | .543 |
| Student | 94 | 3.58 | .859 |
| Crisis of Meaning | Professional | 82 | 1.10 | 1.247 | 0.067 |
| Management | 13 | 1.92 | 1.248 |
| Clerical | 30 | 1.56 | 1.223 |
| Skilled | 29 | .90 | 1.008 |
| Unskilled | 17 | 1.45 | 1.224 |
| Homemaker/unemployed | 10 | 1.20 | 1.288 |
| Student | 94 | 1.14 | 1.066 |
| Sustainability | Professional | 82 | 3.63 | 1.040 | 0.092 |
| Management | 13 | 3.77 | .762 |
| Clerical | 30 | 3.76 | .909 |
| Skilled | 29 | 3.32 | 1.107 |
| Unskilled | 17 | 3.31 | 1.382 |
| Homemaker/unemployed | 10 | 3.97 | .761 |
| Student | 94 | 3.34 | .951 |
| Faith | Professional | 82 | 2.50 | 1.897 | 0.043 |
| Management | 13 | 3.72 | 1.545 |
| Clerical | 30 | 3.17 | 1.752 |
| Skilled | 29 | 2.55 | 2.044 |
| Unskilled | 17 | 1.82 | 2.075 |
| Homemaker/unemployed | 10 | 3.40 | 1.936 |
| Student | 94 | 3.05 | 1.886 |
| Security | Professional | 82 | 3.39 | .750 | 0.471 |
| Management | 13 | 3.33 | .638 |
| Clerical | 30 | 3.38 | .801 |
| Skilled | 29 | 3.07 | .969 |
| Unskilled | 17 | 3.51 | .987 |
| Homemaker/unemployed | 10 | 3.80 | .724 |
| Student | 94 | 3.42 | .790 |
| Community | Professional | 82 | 3.98 | .808 | 0.976 |
| Management | 13 | 4.13 | .714 |
| Clerical | 30 | 4.02 | .631 |
| Skilled | 29 | 4.07 | .632 |
| Unskilled | 17 | 3.86 | 1.219 |
| Homemaker/unemployed | 10 | 4.10 | 1.031 |
| Student | 94 | 3.95 | .851 |
| Personal Growth | Professional | 82 | 4.27 | .745 | 0.355 |
| Management | 13 | 4.03 | .876 |
| Clerical | 30 | 4.07 | .765 |
| Skilled | 29 | 4.20 | .743 |
| Unskilled | 17 | 4.16 | 1.275 |
| Homemaker/unemployed | 10 | 4.10 | .876 |
| Student | 94 | 3.98 | .878 |

Unskilled workers are scoring significantly lower than their counterparts on faith. However, for the remaining scales there was no significant discrepancy between the distinct occupation levels since all p-values exceed the 0.05 level of significance.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kruskal Wallis Test (Comparison by religious belief)** | | | | | |
|  | | Sample | Mean | Std. Dev. | P-value |
| Meaningfulness | Christian | 190 | 3.70 | .896 | 0.131 |
| Other religion | 13 | 4.00 | .942 |
| No religion/atheist/humanist | 72 | 3.53 | 1.074 |
| Crisis of Meaning | Christian | 190 | 1.23 | 1.203 | 0.261 |
| Other religion | 13 | .74 | .873 |
| No religion/atheist/humanist | 72 | 1.22 | 1.131 |
| Sustainability | Christian | 190 | 3.54 | .911 | <0.001 |
| Other religion | 13 | 4.51 | .502 |
| No religion/atheist/humanist | 72 | 3.24 | 1.213 |
| Faith | Christian | 190 | 3.81 | 1.207 | <0.001 |
| Other religion | 13 | 2.62 | 1.924 |
| No religion/atheist/humanist | 72 | .22 | .452 |
| Security | Christian | 190 | 3.49 | .788 | 0.007 |
| Other religion | 13 | 3.08 | .862 |
| No religion/atheist/humanist | 72 | 3.17 | .801 |
| Community | Christian | 190 | 4.07 | .752 | 0.040 |
| Other religion | 13 | 4.03 | .918 |
| No religion/atheist/humanist | 72 | 3.76 | .930 |
| Personal Growth | Christian | 190 | 4.14 | .766 | 0.126 |
| Other religion | 13 | 4.51 | .633 |
| No religion/atheist/humanist | 72 | 3.98 | 1.034 |

Christians scored significantly higher on faith and security than their counterparts; individuals practicing other religions scored significantly higher on sustainability; while atheists/humanists and individuals with no religion scored significantly on faith and community. However, for the remaining scales there was no significant discrepancy between the distinct religious beliefs since all p-values exceed the 0.05 level of significance.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kruskal Wallis Test (Comparison by baptism as a catholic)** | | | | | |
|  | | Sample size | Mean | Std. Deviation | P-value |
| Meaningfulness | Yes | 261 | 3.67 | .959 | 0.775 |
| No | 14 | 3.80 | .773 |
| Crisis of Meaning | Yes | 261 | 1.22 | 1.177 | 0.204 |
| No | 14 | .86 | 1.052 |
| Sustainability | Yes | 261 | 3.52 | .994 | 0.647 |
| No | 14 | 3.26 | 1.403 |
| Faith | Yes | 261 | 2.82 | 1.893 | 0.861 |
| No | 14 | 2.69 | 2.437 |
| Security | Yes | 261 | 3.40 | .791 | 0.211 |
| No | 14 | 3.07 | 1.064 |
| Community | Yes | 261 | 3.99 | .809 | 0.622 |
| No | 14 | 3.83 | .994 |
| Personal Growth | Yes | 261 | 4.10 | .849 | 0.072 |
| No | 14 | 4.48 | .676 |

For each scale, there was no significant discrepancy between those who were baptized as Catholics and those who weren’t since all p-values exceed the 0.05 level of significance.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kruskal Wallis Test (Comparison by reception of first Communion)** | | | | | |
|  | | Sample size | Mean | Std. Deviation | P-value |
| Meaningfulness | Yes | 259 | 3.67 | .961 | 0.802 |
| No | 16 | 3.70 | .773 |
| Crisis of Meaning | Yes | 259 | 1.22 | 1.182 | 0.549 |
| No | 16 | 1.00 | 1.018 |
| Sustainability | Yes | 259 | 3.51 | 1.018 | 0.696 |
| No | 16 | 3.44 | 1.031 |
| Faith | Yes | 259 | 2.83 | 1.894 | 0.846 |
| No | 16 | 2.52 | 2.344 |
| Security | Yes | 259 | 3.40 | .806 | 0.170 |
| No | 16 | 3.17 | .834 |
| Community | Yes | 259 | 4.00 | .813 | 0.140 |
| No | 16 | 3.71 | .877 |
| Personal Growth | Yes | 259 | 4.10 | .853 | 0.196 |
| No | 16 | 4.40 | .647 |

For each scale, there was no significant discrepancy between those who received first Communion and those who didn’t since all p-values exceed the 0.05 level of significance.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kruskal Wallis Test (Comparison by reception of Confirmation)** | | | | | |
|  | | Sample size | Mean | Std. Deviation | P-value |
| Meaningfulness | Yes | 252 | 3.67 | .968 | 0.855 |
| No | 23 | 3.74 | .732 |
| Crisis of Meaning | Yes | 252 | 1.21 | 1.178 | 0.944 |
| No | 23 | 1.17 | 1.136 |
| Sustainability | Yes | 252 | 3.54 | .985 | 0.319 |
| No | 23 | 3.22 | 1.305 |
| Faith | Yes | 252 | 2.92 | 1.864 | 0.010 |
| No | 23 | 1.61 | 2.138 |
| Security | Yes | 252 | 3.42 | .785 | 0.014 |
| No | 23 | 2.96 | .939 |
| Community | Yes | 252 | 4.00 | .814 | 0.322 |
| No | 23 | 3.84 | .864 |
| Personal Growth | Yes | 252 | 4.09 | .849 | 0.055 |
| No | 23 | 4.41 | .738 |

Individuals who received Confirmation scored significantly higher than their counterparts on faith and security. For the remaining scales, there was no significant discrepancy between those who received Confirmation and those who didn’t since the p-values exceed the 0.05 level of significance.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kruskal Wallis Test (Comparison by attendance to church schools)** | | | | | |
|  | | Sample size | Mean | Std. Deviation | P-value |
| Meaningfulness | Yes | 167 | 3.73 | .969 | 0.121 |
| No | 108 | 3.58 | .916 |
| Crisis of Meaning | Yes | 167 | 1.17 | 1.170 | 0.456 |
| No | 108 | 1.26 | 1.179 |
| Sustainability | Yes | 167 | 3.56 | 1.003 | 0.291 |
| No | 108 | 3.43 | 1.037 |
| Faith | Yes | 167 | 2.90 | 1.974 | 0.171 |
| No | 108 | 2.68 | 1.833 |
| Security | Yes | 167 | 3.33 | .805 | 0.099 |
| No | 108 | 3.47 | .808 |
| Community | Yes | 167 | 3.94 | .862 | 0.407 |
| No | 108 | 4.06 | .744 |
| Personal Growth | Yes | 167 | 4.15 | .847 | 0.391 |
| No | 108 | 4.07 | .841 |

For each scale, there was no significant discrepancy between those who attended church schools and those who didn’t since all p-values exceed the 0.05 level of significance.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kruskal Wallis Test (Comparison by reception of religious education after Confirmation)** | | | | | |
|  | | Sample size | Mean | Std. Deviation | P-value |
| Meaningfulness | Yes | 170 | 3.64 | .990 | 0.566 |
| No | 105 | 3.73 | .883 |
| Crisis of Meaning | Yes | 170 | 1.35 | 1.262 | 0.453 |
| No | 105 | 1.27 | .969 |
| Sustainability | Yes | 170 | 3.56 | .954 | 0.291 |
| No | 105 | 3.42 | 1.110 |
| Faith | Yes | 170 | 3.15 | 1.849 | <0.001 |
| No | 105 | 2.27 | 1.916 |
| Security | Yes | 170 | 3.43 | .763 | 0.343 |
| No | 105 | 3.32 | .874 |
| Community | Yes | 170 | 3.97 | .816 | 0.683 |
| No | 105 | 4.01 | .826 |
| Personal Growth | Yes | 170 | 4.13 | .800 | 0.945 |
| No | 105 | 4.09 | .914 |

Individuals of received further religious education after Confirmation scored significantly higher than their counterparts on faith. For the remaining scales, there was no significant discrepancy between those who received further religious education after Confirmation and those who didn’t since the p-values exceed the 0.05 level of significance.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kruskal Wallis Test (Comparison by membership of a religious group/movement)** | | | | | |
|  | | Sample size | Mean | Std. Deviation | P-value |
| Meaningfulness | Yes | 163 | 3.66 | .986 | 0.981 |
| No | 112 | 3.69 | .899 |
| Crisis of Meaning | Yes | 163 | 1.31 | 1.257 | 0.162 |
| No | 112 | 1.05 | 1.022 |
| Sustainability | Yes | 163 | 3.52 | .981 | 0.820 |
| No | 112 | 3.49 | 1.071 |
| Faith | Yes | 163 | 3.30 | 1.769 | <0.001 |
| No | 112 | 2.10 | 1.913 |
| Security | Yes | 163 | 3.38 | .794 | 0.713 |
| No | 112 | 3.40 | .831 |
| Community | Yes | 163 | 4.00 | .852 | 0.424 |
| No | 112 | 3.96 | .769 |
| Personal Growth | Yes | 163 | 4.09 | .862 | 0.469 |
| No | 112 | 4.16 | .819 |

Individuals who were members of a religious group/movement scored significantly higher than their counterparts on faith. For the remaining scales, there was no significant discrepancy between those who were members of a religious group/movement and those who weren’t since the p-values exceed the 0.05 level of significance.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kruskal Wallis Test (Comparison by duration in religious group/movement)** | | | | | |
|  | | Sample size | Mean | Std. Dev. | P-value |
| Meaningfulness | 0 years | 120 | 3.68 | .921 | 0.835 |
| 1-5 years | 71 | 3.70 | .963 |
| 6-10 years | 55 | 3.69 | .964 |
| More than 10 years | 29 | 3.54 | 1.046 |
| Crisis of Meaning | 0 years | 120 | 1.08 | 1.043 | 0.439 |
| 1-5 years | 71 | 1.16 | 1.148 |
| 6-10 years | 55 | 1.36 | 1.327 |
| More than 10 years | 29 | 1.54 | 1.381 |
| Sustainability | 0 years | 120 | 3.45 | 1.093 | 0.475 |
| 1-5 years | 71 | 3.69 | .896 |
| 6-10 years | 55 | 3.44 | 1.058 |
| More than 10 years | 29 | 3.46 | .875 |
| Faith | 0 years | 120 | 2.21 | 1.925 | <0.001 |
| 1-5 years | 71 | 3.05 | 1.885 |
| 6-10 years | 55 | 3.43 | 1.622 |
| More than 10 years | 29 | 3.56 | 1.826 |
| Security | 0 years | 120 | 3.39 | .813 | 0.235 |
| 1-5 years | 71 | 3.33 | .746 |
| 6-10 years | 55 | 3.52 | .923 |
| More than 10 years | 29 | 3.26 | .692 |
| Community | 0 years | 120 | 3.94 | .795 | 0.418 |
| 1-5 years | 71 | 4.05 | .863 |
| 6-10 years | 55 | 4.06 | .834 |
| More than 10 years | 29 | 3.90 | .787 |
| Personal Growth | 0 years | 120 | 4.13 | .862 | 0.308 |
| 1-5 years | 71 | 4.15 | .862 |
| 6-10 years | 55 | 4.16 | .798 |
| More than 10 years | 29 | 3.89 | .813 |

Individuals who did not participate in a religious group/movement scored significantly lower than their counterparts on faith. For the remaining scales, there was no significant discrepancy between those who spent a number of years in a religious group/movement and those who didn’t since the p-values exceed the 0.05 level of significance.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kruskal Wallis Test (Comparison by attendance of church service)** | | | | | |
|  | | Sample size | Mean | Std. Dev. | P-value |
| Meaningfulness | Regular attendance | 155 | 3.69 | .919 | 0.730 |
| Rarely/Never | 120 | 3.65 | .993 |
| Crisis of Meaning | Regular attendance | 155 | 1.24 | 1.230 | 0.912 |
| Rarely/Never | 120 | 1.16 | 1.097 |
| Sustainability | Regular attendance | 155 | 3.54 | .900 | 0.980 |
| Rarely/Never | 120 | 3.47 | 1.153 |
| Faith | Regular attendance | 155 | 3.93 | 1.284 | <0.001 |
| Rarely/Never | 120 | 1.37 | 1.616 |
| Security | Regular attendance | 155 | 3.49 | .810 | 0.015 |
| Rarely/Never | 120 | 3.24 | .786 |
| Community | Regular attendance | 155 | 4.08 | .741 | 0.044 |
| Rarely/Never | 120 | 3.86 | .897 |
| Personal Growth | Regular attendance | 155 | 4.12 | .778 | 0.705 |
| Rarely/Never | 120 | 4.11 | .926 |

Individuals who attend church service regularly scored significantly higher than their counterparts on faith, security and community. For the remaining scales, there was no significant discrepancy between those who attend church service regularly and those who don’t since the p-values exceed the 0.05 level of significance.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kruskal Wallis Test (Comparison by family structure)** | | | | | |
|  | | Sample size | Mean | Std. Dev. | P-value |
| Meaningfulness | Two-parent family | 244 | 3.67 | .967 | 0.676 |
| Single parent | 31 | 3.68 | .814 |
| Crisis of Meaning | Two-parent family | 244 | 1.21 | 1.175 | 0.850 |
| Single parent | 31 | 1.17 | 1.167 |
| Sustainability | Two-parent family | 244 | 3.51 | 1.015 | 0.933 |
| Single parent | 31 | 3.53 | 1.050 |
| Faith | Two-parent family | 244 | 2.89 | 1.921 | 0.026 |
| Single parent | 31 | 2.18 | 1.811 |
| Security | Two-parent family | 244 | 3.40 | .818 | 0.439 |
| Single parent | 31 | 3.28 | .726 |
| Community | Two-parent family | 244 | 4.00 | .811 | 0.332 |
| Single parent | 31 | 3.85 | .877 |
| Personal Growth | Two-parent family | 244 | 4.12 | .841 | 0.871 |
| Single parent | 31 | 4.12 | .884 |

Individuals who were brought up in a two-parent family structure scored significantly higher than their counterparts on faith. For the remaining scales, there was no significant discrepancy between those who were brought up in a one-parent or a two-parent family structure since the p-values exceed the 0.05 level of significance.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kruskal Wallis Test (Comparison by father’s religion)** | | | | | |
|  | | Sample size | Mean | Std. Dev. | P-value |
| Meaningfulness | Christian | 249 | 3.66 | .960 | 0.398 |
| Other religion | 26 | 3.82 | .853 |
| Crisis of Meaning | Christian | 249 | 1.22 | 1.193 | 0.843 |
| Other religion | 26 | 1.08 | .963 |
| Sustainability | Christian | 249 | 3.51 | .988 | 0.826 |
| Other religion | 26 | 3.49 | 1.283 |
| Faith | Christian | 249 | 2.95 | 1.868 | 0.002 |
| Other religion | 26 | 1.55 | 1.978 |
| Security | Christian | 249 | 3.42 | .787 | 0.034 |
| Other religion | 26 | 3.03 | .923 |
| Community | Christian | 249 | 3.98 | .802 | 0.546 |
| Other religion | 26 | 4.01 | .977 |
| Personal Growth | Christian | 249 | 4.10 | .837 | 0.058 |
| Other religion | 26 | 4.32 | .902 |

Individuals whose fathers were Christians scored significantly higher than their counterparts on faith and security. For the remaining scales, there was no significant discrepancy between those whose father was Christian or practiced another religion since the p-values exceed the 0.05 level of significance.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kruskal Wallis Test (Comparison by father’s attitude towards religion)** | | | | | |
|  | | Sample size | Mean | Std. Dev. | P-value |
| Meaningfulness | Religious | 200 | 3.66 | .988 | 0.975 |
| Non-practicing | 46 | 3.69 | .848 |
| No religion | 29 | 3.74 | .853 |
| Crisis of Meaning | Religious | 200 | 1.21 | 1.211 | 0.770 |
| Non-practicing | 46 | 1.25 | 1.062 |
| No religion | 29 | 1.13 | 1.096 |
| Sustainability | Religious | 200 | 3.53 | 1.010 | 0.422 |
| Non-practicing | 46 | 3.55 | .969 |
| No religion | 29 | 3.28 | 1.138 |
| Faith | Religious | 200 | 3.21 | 1.792 | <0.001 |
| Non-practicing | 46 | 2.12 | 1.804 |
| No religion | 29 | 1.16 | 1.794 |
| Security | Religious | 200 | 3.47 | .820 | 0.008 |
| Non-practicing | 46 | 3.29 | .687 |
| No religion | 29 | 2.98 | .781 |
| Community | Religious | 200 | 3.98 | .836 | 0.615 |
| Non-practicing | 46 | 4.09 | .745 |
| No religion | 29 | 3.90 | .812 |
| Personal Growth | Religious | 200 | 4.13 | .837 | 0.504 |
| Non-practicing | 46 | 4.02 | .833 |
| No religion | 29 | 4.16 | .924 |

Individuals whose fathers’ attitude was religious scored significantly higher than their counterparts on faith and security. For the remaining scales, there was no significant discrepancy between those whose father was religious, non-practicing or had no religion since the p-values exceed the 0.05 level of significance.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kruskal Wallis Test (Comparison by mother’s religion)** | | | | | |
|  | | Sample size | Mean | Std. Dev. | P-value |
| Meaningfulness | Christian | 261 | 3.66 | .961 | 0.424 |
| Other religion | 14 | 3.91 | .705 |
| Crisis of Meaning | Christian | 261 | 1.23 | 1.192 | 0.181 |
| Other religion | 14 | .67 | .453 |
| Sustainability | Christian | 261 | 3.50 | 1.026 | 0.923 |
| Other religion | 14 | 3.62 | .846 |
| Faith | Christian | 261 | 2.88 | 1.891 | 0.003 |
| Other religion | 14 | 1.64 | 2.142 |
| Security | Christian | 261 | 3.39 | .808 | 0.695 |
| Other religion | 14 | 3.31 | .832 |
| Community | Christian | 261 | 3.98 | .819 | 0.708 |
| Other religion | 14 | 4.07 | .818 |
| Personal Growth | Christian | 261 | 4.10 | .846 | 0.095 |
| Other religion | 14 | 4.50 | .737 |

Individuals whose mothers were Christians scored significantly higher than their counterparts on faith. For the remaining scales, there was no significant discrepancy between those whose mother was Christian or practiced another religion since the p-values exceed the 0.05 level of significance.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Kruskal Wallis Test (Comparison by mother’s attitude towards religion)** | | | | | |
|  | | Sample size | Mean | Std. Dev. | P-value |
| Meaningfulness | Religious | 233 | 3.62 | .980 | 0.225 |
| Non-practicing | 36 | 3.96 | .745 |
| No religion | 6 | 3.83 | .543 |
| Crisis of Meaning | Religious | 233 | 1.24 | 1.199 | 0.705 |
| Non-practicing | 36 | 1.02 | 1.005 |
| No religion | 6 | 1.17 | 1.111 |
| Sustainability | Religious | 233 | 3.49 | 1.008 | 0.753 |
| Non-practicing | 36 | 3.62 | 1.105 |
| No religion | 6 | 3.67 | .919 |
| Faith | Religious | 233 | 3.03 | 1.842 | <0.001 |
| Non-practicing | 36 | 1.66 | 1.951 |
| No religion | 6 | 1.33 | 1.838 |
| Security | Religious | 233 | 3.42 | .807 | 0.191 |
| Non-practicing | 36 | 3.22 | .768 |
| No religion | 6 | 2.89 | .911 |
| Community | Religious | 36 | 3.94 | .737 | 0.744 |
| Non-practicing | 6 | 4.11 | .720 |
| No religion | 233 | 4.12 | .862 |
| Personal Growth | Religious | 36 | 4.09 | .754 | 0.819 |
| Non-practicing | 6 | 4.28 | .712 |
| No religion | 233 | 3.62 | .980 |

Individuals whose mothers’ attitude was religious scored significantly higher than their counterparts on faith. For the remaining scales, there was no significant discrepancy between those whose mother was religious, non-practicing or had no religion since the p-values exceed the 0.05 level of significance.